

In paragraphs eleven (11) & twelve (12) it depicts two Senators that on one side is legitimately trying to do the right thing based on what he knows, while on the other side there is a Senator who just may be involved in the possible Minneapolis bridge collapse. There are a number of politicians & politically involved individuals (from both sides of the political spectrum) that are involved in my mother's growing murder conspiracy, it is a very fluid situation that continuously grows.

**Once the Republican's, President Bush's, and his administration's heinous scheme was identified and uncovered, there was a combined effort by all of the national news media to make the story disappear and go away.**

An example of the how the Republicans have stacked the judiciary with judges who will rule as they are told, is to simply review the recent appointment of Judge Leslie Southwick to the U.S. Fifth (5<sup>th</sup>) Circuit Appellate Court, which includes Mississippi, Louisiana, and Texas; exactly where the Republicans have been the most successful with their scheme. **Judge Southwick, is an openly racist individual that advocates racial insensitivity, exactly who the Republicans want in power.** As I have previously communicated, both the climate and environment have drastically changed over the last several years, and what use to be very easy for the Republicans to accomplish has now become very difficult. However there are many Democrats that actually function as undercover Republicans and there are times when the Republicans require that these individuals show their true colors to the public. In reviewing **Judge Southwick's enclosed vote tally sheet** (Listed Attachment A-29) some of the Democrats who actual show their true Republican loyalties are:

- 1.) Daniel Akaka of Hawaii**
- 2.) Robert Byrd of West Virginia, a professed alleged former clansman**
- 3.) Kent Conrad & Byron Dorgan of North Dakota**
- 4.) \*Dianne Feinstein of California**
- 5.) Tim Johnson of South Dakota**
- 6.) Blanche Lincoln & Mark Pryor of Arkansas**
- 7.) Ben Nelson of Nebraska**
- 8.) Joe Lieberman of Connecticut**

I have long suspected that all of the listed names in bold have deeply been involved with influencing a number of Democrats from addressing or exposing my mother's conspiracy.

\*Senator Feinstein is very risk adverse and does as much as she can, to conceal her true

Republican loyalties. (The following information comes from the Associated Press news article titled **"Southwick Wins Judicial Confirmation"** dated Wednesday October 24<sup>th</sup> of this year (2007), which is why Republicans showered her with rhetorical roses after the vote, calling her "the heroine," "the lady of the day" and "a profile in courage." In fact, Sen. Trent Lott, a Republican from Mississippi who is deeply involved in my mother's murder conspiracy, said he would devote a chapter in a future book on leadership to the senator from California.

Interestingly enough Senators Barbara Boxer, Christopher Dodd, and Edward Kennedy didn't vote, which says a great deal about all three of them.

The example that I cite that validates all that I have stated within this communication, is the series of court cases between Ms. Jane Akre and her husband, Mr. Steve Wilson. v. FOX News. The news article I cite is from the Organic Consumer Association titled **"Court Ruled That Media Can Legally Lie"** by Liane Casten dated Sunday March 7<sup>th</sup> of 2004. Surprising no major national news media organization pick-up the story, I wonder why that is? Listed below is there story:

In December of 1996, Mrs Akre and her husband Mr. Wilson, were hired by FOX as a part of the Fox "Investigators" team at WTVT in Tampa Bay, Florida. In 1997 the team began work on a story about bovine growth hormone (BGH), a controversial substance manufactured by Monsanto Corporation. The couple produced a four-part series revealing that there were many health risks related to BGH and that Florida supermarket chains did little to avoid selling milk from cows treated with the hormone, despite assuring customers otherwise.

According to Akre and Wilson, the station was initially very excited about the series. But within a week, Fox executives and their attorneys wanted the reporters to use statements from Monsanto representatives that the reporters knew were false and to make other revisions to the story that were in direct conflict with the facts. Fox editors then tried to force Akre and Wilson to continue to produce the distorted story. When they refused and threatened to report Fox's actions to the FCC, they were both fired.(Project Censored #12 1997)

Akre and Wilson sued the Fox station and on August 18, 2000, a Florida jury unanimously decided that Akre was wrongfully fired by Fox Television when she refused to broadcast (in the jury's words) "a false, distorted or slanted story" about the widespread use of BGH in dairy cows. They further maintained that she deserved protection under Florida's whistle blower law. Akre was awarded a \$425,000 settlement. Inexplicably, however, the court decided that Steve Wilson, her partner in the case, was ruled not wronged by the same actions taken by FOX.

FOX appealed the case, and on February 14, 2003 **the Florida Second District Court of Appeals unanimously overturned the settlement awarded to Akre. The Court held that Akre's threat to report the station's actions to the FCC did not deserve protection under Florida's whistle blower statute, because Florida's whistle blower law states that an employer must violate an adopted "law, rule, or regulation." In a stunningly narrow**

**interpretation of FCC rules, the Florida Appeals court claimed that the FCC policy against falsification of the news does not rise to the level of a "law, rule, or regulation," it was simply a "policy." Therefore, it is up to the station whether or not it wants to report honestly.**

During their appeal, **FOX asserted that there are no written rules against distorting news in the media. They argued that, under the First Amendment, broadcasters have the right to lie or deliberately distort news reports on public airwaves. Fox attorneys did not dispute Akre's claim that they pressured her to broadcast a false story, they simply maintained that it was their right to do so.** After the appeal verdict WTVT general manager Bob Linger commented, "It's vindication for WTVT, and we're very pleased... It's the case we've been making for two years. She never had a legal claim."

The court implied there was no restriction against distorting the truth. Technically, there was no violation of the news distortion because the FCC's policy of news distortion does not have the weight of the law. Thus, said the court, Akre-Wilson never qualified as whistle-blowers.

**The five major media outlets that filed briefs of Amici Curiae- or friend of FOX – to support FOX's position: Belo Corporation, Cox Television, Inc., Gannett Co., Inc., Media General Operations, Inc., and Post-Newsweek Stations, Inc. These are major media players! Their statement, "The station argued that it simply wanted to ensure that a news story about a scientific controversy regarding a commercial product was present with fairness and balance, and to ensure that it had a sound defense to any potential defamation claim."**

The Monsanto Corporation hardly demonstrated "fairness and balance" when it threatened a lawsuit and demanded the elimination of important, verifiable information!

**The Amici position was "If upheld by this court, the decision would convert personnel actions arising from disagreements over editorial policy into litigation battles in which state courts would interpret and apply federal policies that raise significant and delicate constitutional and statutory issues." After all, Amici argued, 40 states now have Whistle-blower laws, imagine what would happen if employees in those 40 states followed the same course of action?**

**The position implies that First Amendment rights belong to the employers – in this case the five power media groups. And when convenient, the First Amendment becomes a broad shield to hide behind. Let's not forget, however; the airwaves belong to the people. Is there no public interest left—while these media giants make their private fortunes using the public airwaves? Can corporations have the power to influence the media reporting, even at the expense of the truth? Apparently so.**

In addition, the five "friends" referred to FCC policies. The five admit they are "vitally interested in the outcome of this appeal, which will determine the extent to which state whistle-blower laws

may incorporate federal policies that touch on sensitive questions of editorial judgment.”

**Anyone concerned with media must hear the alarm bells. The Bush FCC, under Michael Powell’s leadership, has shown repeatedly that greater media consolidation is encouraged, that liars like Rush Limbaugh and Ann Coulter are perfectly acceptable, that to refer to the FCC interpretation of “editorial judgment” is to potentially throw out any pretense at editorial accuracy if the “accuracy” harms a large corporation and its bottom line. This is our “Brave New Media”, the corporate media that protects its friends and now lies, unchallenged if need be.**

The next assault: the Fox station then filed a series of motions in a Tampa Circuit Court seeking more than \$1.7 million in trial fees and costs from both Akre and Wilson. The motions were filed on March 30 and April 16 by Fox attorney, William McDaniels—who bills his client at \$525 to \$550 an hour. The costs are to cover legal fees and trial costs incurred by FOX in defending itself at the first trial. The issue may be heard by the original trial judge, Ralph Steinberg—a logical step in the whole process. However, Judge Steinberg must come out of retirement if he is to hear this, so the hearing, set for June 1, may go to a new judge, Judge Maye.

Akre and her husband feel the stress. “There is no justification for the five stations not to support us,” she said. “Attaching legal fees to whistle-blowers is unprecedented, absurd. **The ‘business’ of broadcasting trumps it all. These news organizations must ensure they are worthy of the public trust while they use OUR airwaves, free of charge. Public trust is alarmingly absent here.**”

**Indeed. This is what our corporate media, led by such as Rupert Murdoch, have come to. How low we have fallen.**

The news article I now cite also from the Organic Consumer Association is titled **“Fox News Continues Persecution of Reporters Who Exposed Network Lies on Monsanto’s rBGH”** dated Monday August 23<sup>rd</sup> of 2004.

(TAMPA)--A Florida judge has denied a Fox Television motion that would have forced it’s former investigative reporters Jane Akre and Steve Wilson to pay nearly \$2 million in legal fees and court costs the broadcaster spent to defend itself at trial in the landmark whistle-blower suit brought by the journalists.

In her ruling which followed a lengthy hearing in Tampa Wednesday (August 18), Judge Vivian Maye cited previous court decisions that allow judicial discretion in deciding whether whistle-blowers must reimburse defense costs if they ultimately lose.

Still at issue are some additional court costs that Fox says it is entitled to collect from the journalists under different rules that apply at the appellate level. Fox took the case there and ultimately overturned the jury on a legal technicality last year. (There, the party that ultimately wins is generally allowed to collect appellate costs and fees from the losing party.)

Ironically, the ruling came four years to the very day and exact hour that a jury returned its

landmark ruling in the case and a \$425,000 award to reporter Jane Akre.

This latest decision stems from a case filed in 1998 by former Fox journalists Akre and Wilson who charged they were pressured to broadcast what they knew and documented to be lies about an artificial hormone injected into dairy cows, then fired when they refused and threatened to report the matter to the Federal Communications Commission.

After a five-week trial in 2000, a jury decided unanimously that Akre was fired solely because she threatened to blow the whistle to the FCC the broadcast of a false, distorted or slanted news report. The panel that found in Akre's favor awarded nothing to Wilson who represented himself at trial.

The Fox appeal was largely on an argument that it is not technically illegal for a broadcaster to deliberately distort the news on television. The appellate justices reasoned that since state law provides whistle-blower protection only for employees who object to misconduct which is against an "adopted law, rule, or regulation" and they decided prohibitions against news distortion are merely a "policy" of the FCC, the reporters' eight-year-old lawsuit must have been without merit from its inception.

"The appellate judges were wrong to overturn the jury on the notion that it's not illegal for a broadcaster to lie in a television news report," Akre said.

"And what's even more shameful is that a broadcaster would argue that the First Amendment is broad enough to protect outright lies and deliberate distortion," Wilson added. "Remember this case the next time you hear 'fair and balanced,' or 'we report, you decide'."

In her ruling yesterday, Judge Maye noted, "Three different trial court judges believed this case had legal merit." Six times before Fox appealed its loss, those judges rejected that very same argument, deciding prohibitions against deliberate distortion of the news on the public airwaves was more than a mere violation of government policy.

Reading from the Jury Verdict Form, she also noted that six disinterested jurors decided Fox fired Akre for no other reason than her objection to airing a report the jurors agreed was "false, distorted, or slanted."

Ironically, the decision came exactly four years to the day-and virtually to the very hour-that a jury returned a favorable verdict and \$425,000 award for one of the reporters.

The journalists, who have already spent hundreds of thousands of dollars on their own costs and fees as plaintiffs, are not entirely off the hook for paying at least some of Fox's expenses. The broadcaster told the court it was seeking to recover only part of its total defense costs which is believed to be well over \$3 million.

The appeals court which affirmed Wilson's loss at trial has ordered him to reimburse what Fox spent on court costs and attorneys' fees at the appellate level. Fox says that amount is about \$130,000 but the exact amount of any eventual judgment must be determined by the trial court judge following review by a court-ordered mediator.

In Akre's case, the appeal court justices ruled last February that she was not liable for what Fox paid its attorneys to handle the appeal because she was defending a trial court victory. That decision still left her subject to pay Fox's appellate court costs and, accordingly, Judge Maye

entered an order that Fox is entitled to collect from her its \$156 filing fee and \$18,256 in premiums for the bond the broadcaster posted to insure payment of the jury verdict if it had been upheld.

Still at issue is an additional \$43,747 Fox wants to collect for the cost of a second copy of the trial transcript the broadcaster needed for its appeal. Fox paid at least that much for an original copy on a day-to-day basis as the trial continued but now argue it was forced to buy the second copy because its attorneys were told the "dailies" could not be used in the appeal.

Thomas Johnson, representing Akre and Wilson, contested the charge. He told Judge Maye that the court's chief clerk has said there has never been a requirement that daily transcripts were insufficient for purposes of pursuing an appeal, suggesting Fox's purchase of the second set was an unnecessary burden that should not be placed on the reporters.

The judge gave each side 10 days to file a formal response on that issue before she makes a ruling.

Fox could appeal Judge Maye's decision back to the Second District Court of Appeal but would need to provide a compelling argument that Judge Maye somehow abused her discretion in deciding not to award trial court fees and costs to the defendant.

**Every participant within my mother's murder conspiracy will be held accountable for the role they have played within the conspiracy. Just as I explained within my initial grievance against the four (4) judges listed within this communication, there will God willing soon be a commission that reviews past rulings from all of the current judges (on all judicial levels and the Supreme Court) that are now sitting on the bench. When it is found that a judge or judges has/have ruled unjustly and in many cases gives no reason for their unjust ruling, they will not only be taken off the bench, but brought up on criminal charges for blatant misuse of the power and authority that has been intrusted in them to rule justly. The individuals that have come to them for a fair and just ruling, are subjected to unfairness and left with no recourse to address wrongs that have been committed against them, which is UNCONSTITUTIONAL!!!!**

The information listed below came from the following two news articles:

WWW.Washingtonpost.com news article titled "**Supreme Court Won't Review Alleged CIA Abduction**" by Washington Post Staff Writer Robert Barnes dated Wednesday October 10<sup>th</sup> of this year (2007) (which is identified as article A) and The Associated Press news article titled "**Court Rejects Alleged CIA Kidnap Victim**" both the initial article by Mark Sherman dated Tuesday October ninth (9<sup>th</sup>) of this year (2007)(which is identified as article B)., and the revised article from the Associated Press dated Wednesday October 10<sup>th</sup> of this year (2007)(which is identified as article C).

The pertinent excerpts of both news articles are:

1.) The third (3<sup>rd</sup>), fourth (4<sup>th</sup>), and fifth (5<sup>th</sup>) paragraphs in article C, reads:

“Khaled el-Masri, 44, a German citizen of Lebanese descent, says he was mistakenly identified as an associate of the Sept. 11 hijackers and was detained while attempting to enter Macedonia (while on vacation) New Year’s Eve 2003.”

“He claims that CIA agents stripped, beat, shackled, diapered, drugged and chained him to the floor of a plane for a flight to Afghanistan. He says he was held for four months in a CIA-run prison known as the “salt pit” in the Afghan capital of Kabul.”

“After the CIA determined it had the wrong man, el-Masri says, on May of 2004 he was dumped on a hilltop in Albania and told to walk down a path without looking back.”

2.) The fifteenth (15<sup>th</sup>) paragraph in article B, reads:

“The U.S. government has neither confirmed nor denied el-Masri’s account. But German Chancellor Angela Merkel has said that U.S. officials acknowledge that El-Masri’s detention was a mistake.”

3.) The sixth (6<sup>th</sup>) paragraph in article C, the second (2<sup>nd</sup>) paragraph in article A, and the first (1<sup>st</sup>) sentence in the fourteenth (14<sup>th</sup>) paragraph in article C, reads:

“The lawsuit against former CIA director George Tenet, unidentified CIA agents and others sought damages of at least \$75,000.”

“The government had invoked its “state secrets” privilege and said there was no way for Khaled el-Masri to bring his lawsuit, or for the government to defend itself, without the disclosure of information that would endanger national security.”

**“El-Masri’s lawsuit had been seen as a test of the administration’s legal strategy to invoke the doctrine of state secrets and stop national security suits before any evidence is presented in private to a judge.”**

4.) The third (3<sup>rd</sup>) paragraph of article A, and the second (2<sup>nd</sup>) paragraph in article C, reads:

**“A federal district judge and the U.S. Court of Appeals for the 4<sup>th</sup> Circuit (in Virginia) had dismissed Masri’s suit, and the Supreme Court’s denial of review of those actions came without comment or dissent.”**

**“The Supreme Court rejected without comment an appeal from Kaled el-Marsi, effectively endorsing Bush administration arguments that state secrets would be revealed if courts allowed the case to proceed.”**

5.) The eighteenth (18<sup>th</sup>), nineteenth (19<sup>th</sup>), and twentieth (20<sup>th</sup>) paragraphs in article C, reads:

**“At the height of Cold War tensions between the United States and the former Soviet Union, U.S. presidents used the state secrets privilege six times from 1953 to 1976, according to**

**WWW.OpenTheGovernment.org. Since 2001, it has been used 39 times, enabling the government to unilaterally withhold documents from the court system, the group said.**

**“The state secrets privilege arose from a 1953 Supreme Court ruling that allowed the executive branch to keep secret, even from the court, details about a military plane’s fatal crash.”**

**“Three widows sued to get the accident report after their husband died aboard a B-29 bomber, but the Air Force refused to release it claiming that the plane was on a secret mission to test new equipment. The high court accepted the argument, but when the report was released decades later there was nothing in it about a secret mission or equipment.”**

6.) The seventh (7<sup>th</sup>) paragraph in article A, and the seventeenth (17<sup>th</sup>) paragraph in article C, reads:

“The American Civil Liberties Union (ACLU) lawyers state that “the entire world already knows” the information the government said it is seeking to protect. But Government lawyers said comments from officials are different from the specific details the administration would need to expose in order to litigate the case. Solicitor General Paul D. Clement called Masri’s lawsuit.”

“El-Masri’s lawyers also tried to use a comment by former CIA director George Tenet to show that both the program and el-Masri’s case are well-known to the public.”

7.) The sixth (6<sup>th</sup>) paragraph in article A, reads:

**“Ben Wizner, one of Masri’s lawyers said, “the Supreme Court’s decision not to hear (Masri’s case) it “has provided the government with complete immunity for its shameful human rights and due-process violations.”**

8.) In the fifteenth (15<sup>th</sup>), and sixteenth (16<sup>th</sup>) paragraphs in article C, reads:

“Conservative legal scholar Douglas Kmiec said the Bush White House uses the doctrine too broadly. “The notion that state secrets can’t be preserved by a judge who has taken an oath to protect the Constitution, that a judge cannot examine the strength of the claim is too troubling to be accepted, “said Kmiec, a law professor at Pepperdine University.”

“The court has not examined the state secrets privilege in more than 50 years.”

9.) The fifth (5<sup>th</sup>), sixth (6<sup>th</sup>), and seventh (7<sup>th</sup>) paragraphs in article B, reads:

**“We are very disappointed, “Manfred Gnjidic, el Masri’s attorney in Germany, told The Associated Press in a telephone interview from his office in Ulm.”**

**“It will shatter all trust in the American justice system, “Gnjidic said, charging that the United States expects every other nation to act responsibly, but refuses to take**



**responsibility for its own actions.”**

**“That is a disaster,”** Gnjidic said.

- The Supreme Court is using as precedent an instance where the government lied to the Supreme Court about the need for secrecy. The constitution does grant the Congress the authority to keep some of what *they* do secret, but that privilege does not extended to the Executive Branch. (The president can require written opinions from his cabinet, but that’s for accountability, not secrecy.) The decision upon which the Bush administration relied to win their case against el-Masri is “judicial activism.” Which is something the Republicans, President Bush himself, and his administration claim to be against.

**Democracy cannot function when the government is allowed to declare something a “state secret” and no one is permitted the opportunity to determine if that is actually true.**

The scrutiny process of judges will God willing start with:

- 1.) **The Supreme Court Judges that unconstitutionally voted in President Bush into office in 2000, as well as the Supreme Court Judges who dismissed Mr. El-Masri’s civil lawsuit, due to “state secrets.”**
- 2.) **The federal Judge and the U.S. Court of Appeals in Virginia’s 4<sup>th</sup> Circuit who initially dismissed Mr. El-Masri’s civil lawsuit , due to “state secrets.”**
- 3.) The four (4) judges I have identified in this communication
- 4.) The following three (3) appellate court judges of the 9<sup>th</sup> circuit in San Francisco, CA
  - 4a.) Judge Margaret McKeown
  - 4b.) Judge Harry Pregerson  
&
  - 4c.) Judge Michael Daly Hawkins
- 5.) Judge Leslie Southwick

- 6.) The appellate court judges of the second (2<sup>nd</sup>) district court, who participated in the **unanimously** overturned settlement that was awarded to Mrs. Akre, in favor of Fox News.

**THERE WILL BE NO STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS ON THE JUDGE'S RULINGS THAT ARE REVIEWED!!!!!!!!!!!!**

In closing, I cite the following two (2) related news articles:

- 1.) WWW.TheHill.com news article titled "**Bush blasts House for 'wasting time' on investigations**" by Klaus Marre dated Tuesday October 30<sup>th</sup> of this year (2007) (which is identified as article A)
- 2.) The Fox news article titled "**Ralph Nader Sues Democratic Party Over 2004 Presidential Election**" by the Associated Press dated Tuesday October 30<sup>th</sup> of this year (2007) (which is identified as article B)

The pertinent and relevant excerpts of from article A are::

In the first (1<sup>st</sup>), second (2<sup>nd</sup>), and third (3<sup>rd</sup>) paragraphs in article A, reads:

"President Bush on Tuesday slammed Congress for not getting its work done and focusing too much on investigating his administration and repeatedly attempting to pull U.S. troops out of Iraq."

"We're near the end of the year, and there really isn't much to show for it, "Bush told reporters following a meeting with House GOP leaders."

"The House of Representatives has wasted valuable time on a constant stream of investigations, and the Senate has wasted valuable time on an endless series of failed votes to pull our troops out of Iraq," the president said."

Article B, reads as follows:

WASHINGTON — Consumer advocate and 2004 independent presidential candidate Ralph Nader sued the Democratic Party on Tuesday, contending officials conspired to keep him from taking votes away from nominee John Kerry.

Nader's lawsuit, filed in District of Columbia Superior Court, also named as co-defendants Kerry's campaign, the Service Employees International Union and several so-called 527 organizations such as America Coming Together, which were created to promote voter turnout on behalf of the Democratic ticket.

The lawsuit also alleges that the Democratic National Committee conspired to force Nader off the ballot in several states.

"The Democratic Party is going after anyone who presents a credible challenge to their monopoly over their perceived voters," Nader said in a statement. "This lawsuit was filed to help advance a free and open electoral process for all candidates and voters. Candidate rights and voter rights nourish each other for more voices, choices, and a more open and competitive democracy."

Among other things, the lawsuit alleges that the DNC tried to bankrupt Nader's campaign by suing to keep him off the ballot in 18 states. It also suggests the DNC sent Kerry supporters to crash a Nader petition drive in Portland, Ore., in June 2004, preventing him from collecting enough signatures to get on the ballot.

The lawsuit seeks, "compensatory damages, punitive damages and injunctive relief to enjoin the defendants from ongoing and future violations of the law." It was not clear how much money Nader is seeking; his attorney, Bruce Afran, did not immediately return a telephone call seeking comment.

DNC spokesman Luis Miranda declined comment on the suit, citing a policy on pending litigation.

- Mr. Nader is a very strong undercover Republican loyalist, and (as previously stated) is dispatched whenever the Republicans need him. Usually he is dispatched in a very deceptive way to assist the Republicans. I am sure Mr. Nader personally has no interest with bringing back up the 2004 Presidential Election (because within this current climate he does not want the attention), where he assisted in splitting the Democratic vote, so the election would be close enough for President Bush to steal, which he stole in Ohio. But he is needed to attack the Democrats and their supporters. Mr. Nader was secretly bank rolled by a number of fellow Republican loyalists, and while the election was very close due to Mr. Nader's efforts, President Bush still lost the election. Unbeknownst to the Republicans that dispatched Mr. Nader, instead of bringing about fictitious claims that the Democratic Party, John Kerry's campaign, the Service Employees International Union, and several other 527 organizations such as America Coming Together, which were created to promote voter turnout on behalf of the Democratic ticket, conspired to:

- 1.) Keep Mr. Nader from taking votes away from Mr. Kerry.
- 2.) To force Mr. Nader off the ballot in several states, by both suing to keep him off the ballot, in 18 states; and by the DNC supposedly sending Mr. Kerry supporters to crash a Mr. Nader

petition drive in Portland, Oregon (in June of 2004), which prevented him from collecting signatures to get on the ballot.

The actual focus will be on all the Republicans have done to actually suppress voter turn out, and to make sure that as few votes are counted as possible. Such as voter caging lists, where military services members that are over seas fighting in the President Bush's war are sent certified address verification letters and when no one is there to sign for the letters and they are returned, the individual's vote is contested as fraud. Also at issue are the voter machines that are able to be hacked, or reverse Democratic votes to Republican favor.

The unfounded lawsuit of course seeks unknown monetary relief for "compensatory damages, punitive damages and injunctive relief to enjoin the defendants from ongoing and future violations of the law."

What is very telling is Mr. Nader's statement:

"The Democratic Party is going after anyone who presents a credible challenge to their monopoly over their perceived voters," Nader said in a statement. "This lawsuit was filed to help advance a free and open electoral process for all candidates and voters. Candidate rights and voter rights nourish each other for more voices, choices, and a more open and competitive democracy."

Even though I don't feel that this is Mr. Nader's intention by his actions, This will hopefully be the outcome, through my actions. **ALL VOTES SHOULD GO BACK TO SIMPLE PAPER BALLADS!!!!**

Desperate times call for desperate measures and Mr. Nader (considering the political base he is playing up to) is walking a very fine line, if he is exposed for being the exact opposite of what he profess to be, not only will he lose any support he has, but he will be identified as being complicit with this Republican scheme.

Currently the odds are stacked in Mr. Nader's favor for his fictitious suit to get some traction, in reviewing some of the past rulings of the District of Columbia Superior Court, the majority of the judges seem to be Republican loyalist that will rule as they are told. The past rulings of the judges of the District of Columbia are in need of scrutiny. It is starting to look as though the majority of the Republican judges within the country are going to need to be replaced, along with some Democratic judges as well. **THE WHOLE CRIMINAL AND CIVIL JUSTICE SYSTEM IS IN NEED OF A COMPLETE OVER HALL!!!!!!!!!!!!!!**

The DNC has no idea how to deal with this Republican attack. Mr. Luis Miranda, the DNC spokesman, declined comment on the suit, citing a policy on pending litigation.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Matthew Taylor". The script is fluid and cursive, with the first letter of "Matthew" being a large, stylized capital 'M'.

Matthew Taylor

Enclosures

**Attachment List Order For the FCC Letter**

- 1.) The Confirmation Sheet for the Keith Olbermann Show - Listed Attachment A-1
- 2.) The Confirmation Sheet for the McClatchy Newspaper - Listed Attachment A-2
- 3.) The Confirmation Sheet for Mr. Joe Madison - Listed Attachment A-3
- 4.) The Confirmation Sheet for Mr. Tavis Smiley - Listed Attachment A-4
- 5.) The Confirmation Sheet for Mr. Michael Isacoff, of Newsweek - Listed Attachment A-5
- 6.) The Confirmation Sheet for Mr. Ed Shultz - Listed Attachment A-6
- 7.) The Confirmation Sheet for the New Yorker Magazine - Listed Attachment A-7
- 8.) The Confirmation Sheet for the New York Times - Listed Attachment A-8
- 9.) The Confirmation Sheet for the Los Angeles Times - Listed Attachment A-9
- 10.) The Confirmation Sheet for the San Francisco Chronicle - Listed Attachment A-10
- 11.) DVD clip of Wednesday June 8<sup>th</sup> of 2005, Hannity & Colmes Show & Info Page  
- Listed Attachment A-11
- 12.) The Confirmation Sheet for Democratic Congresswoman Nancy Pelosi  
- Listed Attachment A-12
- 13.) The Confirmation Sheet for Democratic Congressman John Conyers, Jr.  
- Listed Attachment A-13
- 14.) The Confirmation Sheet for Democratic Congressman Dennis J. Kucinich  
- Listed Attachment A-14
- 15.) The Confirmation Sheet for Democratic Senator Patrick Leahy  
- Listed Attachment A-15
- 16.) The Confirmation Sheet for Democratic Senator Hillary R. Clinton  
- Listed Attachment A-16
- 17.) The Confirmation Sheet for Democratic Senator Barack Obama  
- Listed Attachment A-17

- 18.) The Confirmation Sheet for Democratic Senator Charles Schumer  
- Listed Attachment A-18
- 19.) The Confirmation Sheet for Democratic Senator Byron L. Dorgan  
- Listed Attachment A-19
- 20.) The Confirmation Sheet for Republican Senator John A. Boehner  
- Listed Attachment A-20
- 21.) The Confirmation Sheet for Republican Senator Mich McConnell  
- Listed Attachment A-21
- 22.) The Confirmation Sheet for Republican Senator Lindsey Graham  
- Listed Attachment A-22
- 23.) The L.A. Times news article titled "FCC cleared by own inquiry" by Jim Puzzanghera dated Saturday October 6<sup>th</sup> of this year (2007) - Listed Attachment A-23
- 24.) The New York Times news article titled "Plan Would Ease Limits on Media Owners" by Stephen Labaton dated Thursday October 18<sup>th</sup> of this year (2007) - Listed Attachment A-24
- 25.) The International Hearld Tribune news article titled "New Owner Sparks dismay at the Los Angeles Times" by Laura M. Holson and Sharon Waxman dated Tuesday April 3<sup>rd</sup> of this year (2007) - Listed Attachment A-25
- 26.) Initial Grievance (with the exception of listed attachments A-9 & A-10)  
- Listed Attachment A-26
- 27.) Additional Submission to my Grievance - Listed Attachment A-27
- 28.) The Fox News article titled "Boxer, Peters Clash Over Bridge Safety" by Frederick J. Frommer, Associated Press Writer, dated Thursday September 20<sup>th</sup> of this year (2007)  
- Listed Attachment A-28
- 29.) Judge Southwick's vote Tally Sheet - Listed Attachment A-29

# Shipping Receipt

OfficeMax Retail 140  
14 Candlewood Lake Road  
Brookfield, CT 06804

Attachment  
A-1

Receipt#	DATE	TIME
2466	01/12/2007	10:57:44 AM

Tracking Number  
**19614245652**

Ship: 5 LB  
To: Keith Olberman  
Address One MSNBC Plaza  
ZipCode 07094  
Using Package Packaging  
CHARGE \$ 22.88  
Protection:

\$ 22.88



## EXP

Unless otherwise stated above, parcels shipped have a declared value of \$100. DHL and/or OfficeMax are not responsible for items improperly packed. In the event of damage, call DHL at 1-800-CALL DHL. Save damaged items in original packaging. Unacceptable goods: DHL will not accept the following articles for transportation: (A) coins of any kind, currency, furs in any form, gems or stones (cut or un-cut), industrial diamonds, or precious metals of any type or form; (B) artworks, watches and parts thereof, time-sensitive written material [e.g. bids, contract proposals] or any item being shipped to be repaired when the shipment valuation exceeds \$100 per package; (C) bonds, negotiable securities, and (D) any other article listed as unacceptable in DHL's terms of services guide. DHL and/or OfficeMax will not be responsible for any loss, damage, delay, liability or penalties resulting from transporting such articles however described or undescrbed on this receipt.

X \_\_\_\_\_

Est. CHARGES: \$ 22.88

PACKAGE COUNT: 1

To track your package, call  
1-800-CALL DHL or go ONLINE at  
[www.dhl-usa.com](http://www.dhl-usa.com). You will need the  
Tracking number(s) shown above.


RECEIPT# 2466



Conte



DHL USA Home



## Track results detail

### Tracking results detail for 19614245652

#### Track

- ▶ **Track by number**
- ▶ Track by reference
- ▶ Get delivery signature
- ▶ Track DHL Same Day service
- ▶ Monitor shipments

#### Tracking summary

Current Status **✓ Shipment delivered.** [View Signature](#)

Delivered on 1/15/2007 9:50 am

Delivered to Guard

Signed for by **F DARBY** [What is this?](#)

#### Log in to DHL

User ID

Password

☐ Remember my User ID

**Log in** ▶

- ▶ [Forgot your Password?](#)

**Register**

Registration is quick and free.

- ▶ [Register now](#)

#### Tracking history

Date and Time	Status	Location
1/15/2007 9:50 am	Shipment delivered.	Elizabeth, NJ Why is this?
7:18 am	Arrived at DHL facility.	Elizabeth, NJ
1/13/2007 1:55 am	Transit through sort facility.	Allentown, PA
1/12/2007 6:52 pm	Departing origin.	Danbury, CT
7:10 pm	Picked Up by DHL.	Public Drop Box

Ship From:	Ship To:	Shipment Information:
OFFICEMAX RETAIL 0140	MSNBC TV	Ship date: 1/12/2007
Brookfield, CT 06804	Secaucus, NJ 07094	Pieces: 1
United States	United States	Total weight: 5 lbs *
		Ship Type: Package
		Shipment Reference:
Attention:	Attention:	Service: Next Day
OFFICEMAX RETAIL 0140	MSNBC TV	Special Service:
		Description:

Tracking detail provided by DHL: 1/20/2007, 10:07:28 am pt.

**Track new**

You are authorized to use DHL tracking systems solely to track shipments tendered by or for you to [use of DHL tracking systems and information is strictly prohibited.

**\* Note on weight:**

The weights displayed on this website are the weights provided when the shipment was created. Actual weights may be different and will be provided on invoice.

**New to DHL?**

Registration is quick and easy. And as a registered user, you'll have access to services and tools to help you ship your packages easily and efficiently.

▶ [Register Now](#)

**Questions?**

We're here to help!

▶ [Contact DHL](#)

From Please print and press hard.

Date 5/3/07

Sender's FedEx Account Number

Sender's Name Henry Neff

Phone (479) 648-2907

Company

Address 2905 Clear Ln.

Dept./Floor/Suite/Room

City Ft. Smith

State AR ZIP 72908

## Your Internal Billing Reference

First 24 characters will appear on invoice.

To Margaret Salew

Recipient's Name Marisa Taylor

Phone (916) 321-1855

Company The McClatchy Company

Recipient's Address 2100 Q St.

We cannot deliver to P.O. boxes or P.O. ZIP codes.

Dept./Floor/Suite/Room

Address

To request a package be held at a specific FedEx location, print FedEx address here.

City Sacramento

State CA ZIP 95816-6899

## 4a Express Package Service

☐ FedEx Priority Overnight  
Next business morning. \* Friday shipments will be delivered on Monday unless SATURDAY Delivery is selected.

☐ FedEx Standard Overnight  
Next business afternoon. \* Saturday Delivery NOT available.

Packages up to 150 lbs.

☐ FedEx First Overnight  
Earliest next business morning delivery to select locations. \* Saturday Delivery NOT available.

☒ FedEx 2Day  
Second business day. \* Thursday shipments will be delivered on Monday unless SATURDAY Delivery is selected. FedEx Envelope rate not available. Minimum charge: One-pound rate.

☐ FedEx Express Saver  
Third business day. \* Saturday Delivery NOT available.

\* To most locations.

## 4b Express Freight Service

☐ FedEx 1Day Freight\*  
Next business day. \*\* Friday shipments will be delivered on Monday unless SATURDAY Delivery is selected.

☐ FedEx 2Day Freight  
Second business day. \*\* Thursday shipments will be delivered on Monday unless SATURDAY Delivery is selected.

Packages over 150 lbs.

☐ FedEx 3Day Freight  
Third business day. \*\* Saturday Delivery NOT available.

\* Call for Confirmation.

\*\* To most locations.

## 5 Packaging

☐ FedEx Envelope\*

☐ FedEx Pak\*  
Includes FedEx Small Pak, FedEx Large Pak, and FedEx Heavy Pak.

☒ FedEx Box

☐ FedEx Tube.

\* Declared value limit \$500.

## 6 Special Handling

☐ SATURDAY Delivery  
NOT Available for FedEx Standard Overnight, FedEx First Overnight, FedEx Express Saver, or FedEx 2Day Freight.

☐ HOLD Weekday at FedEx Location  
NOT Available for FedEx First Overnight.

☐ HOLD Saturday at FedEx Location  
Available ONLY for FedEx Priority Overnight and FedEx 2Day to select locations.

Does this shipment contain dangerous goods?  
One box must be checked.

☐ No ☐ Yes

As per attached Shipper's Declaration.

☐ Yes  
Shipper's Declaration not required.

☐ Dry Ice  
Dry ice, 3, UN 1845

☐ Cargo Aircraft Only

Dangerous goods (including dry ice) cannot be shipped in FedEx packaging.

## 7 Payment

Bill to: Enter FedEx Acct. No. or Credit Card No. below.

☐ Sender  
Acct. No. in Section 1 will be billed.

☐ Recipient

☐ Third Party

☐ Credit Card

☒ Cash/Check

FedEx Acct. No.  
Credit Card No.

Total Packages

Total Weight

Total Declared Value\*

\$ 35.64

\*Our liability is limited to \$100 unless you declare a higher value. See back for details. By using this Airbill you agree to the service conditions on the back of this Airbill and in the current FedEx Service Guide, including terms that limit our liability.

## 8 Residential Delivery Signature Options

If you require a signature, check Direct or Indirect.

☐ No Signature Required  
Package may be left without obtaining a signature for delivery.

☐ Direct Signature  
Someone at recipient's address may sign for delivery. Fee applies.

☐ Indirect Signature  
If no one is available at recipient's address, someone at a neighboring address may sign for delivery. Fee applies.

520

Rev. Date 10/06-Part #158281-01954-2006 FedEx-PRINTED IN U.S.A. SRY



Store your addresses at fedex.com

Speedy your shipping. Manage your account. Access all the tools you need.

Attachment  
A-2

Track Shipments  
Detailed Results[? Quick Help](#)

<b>Tracking number</b>	861271876167	<b>Delivered to</b>	Shipping/Receiving
<b>Signed for by</b>	D.RITTER	<b>Service type</b>	FedEx 2Day Box
<b>Ship date</b>	May 3, 2007	<b>Weight</b>	7.0 lbs.
<b>Delivery date</b>	May 7, 2007 9:46 AM		
<b>Status</b>	Delivered		
<b>Signature image available</b>	Yes		

Date/Time	Activity	Location	Details
<b>May 7, 2007</b>	9:46 AM <b>Delivered</b>		
	7:50 AM On FedEx vehicle for delivery	SACRAMENTO, CA	
	7:35 AM At local FedEx facility	SACRAMENTO, CA	
<b>May 5, 2007</b>	2:49 AM Departed FedEx location	OAKLAND, CA	
	2:48 AM At dest sort facility	SACRAMENTO, CA	
<b>May 4, 2007</b>	4:36 PM Arrived at FedEx location	OAKLAND, CA	
	3:19 PM Departed FedEx location	FORT WORTH, TX	
	6:17 AM Arrived at FedEx location	FORT WORTH, TX	
<b>May 3, 2007</b>	7:41 PM Left origin	FORT SMITH, AR	
	6:53 PM Picked up	FORT SMITH, AR	

[Signature proof](#)[E-mail results](#)[Track more shipments](#)

Subscribe to tracking updates (optional)

Your Name:

Your E-mail Address:

E-mail address

Language

Exception updates

Delivery updates

<input type="text"/>	English	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="text"/>	English	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="text"/>	English	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="text"/>	English	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Select format: ☒ HTML ☐ Text ☐ Wireless

Add personal message:

Not available for Wireless or non-English characters.

☐ By selecting this check box and the Submit button, I agree to these [Terms and Conditions](#)[Submit](#)



**1 From** *Please print and press hard*  
Date 8/8/07 Sender's FedEx Account Number \_\_\_\_\_  
Sender's Name Ryan Freeman Phone 859 647-2729  
Company \_\_\_\_\_

Address 2902 Houston Rd. Dept./Floor/Suite/Room \_\_\_\_\_  
City Florence State KY ZIP 41042

**2 Your Internal Billing Reference**  
First 24 characters will appear on invoice.

**3 To**  
Recipient's Name Joe Madison Phone 866 1801-8255  
Company KM Satellite Radio, Inc.  
Recipient's Address 1500 Eckington Place N.E. Dept./Floor/Suite/Room \_\_\_\_\_  
We cannot deliver to P.O. boxes or P.O. ZIP codes.

Address \_\_\_\_\_  
To request a package be held at a specific FedEx location, print FedEx address here.  
City Washington State DC ZIP 20036

**4a Express Package Service**

☒ FedEx Priority Overnight Next business morning\* Friday shipments will be delivered on Monday unless SATURDAY Delivery is selected.  
☐ FedEx Standard Overnight Next business afternoon\* Saturday Delivery NOT available.  
☐ FedEx First Overnight Earliest next business morning delivery to select locations.\* Saturday Delivery NOT available.  
☐ FedEx 2Day Second business day\* Thursday shipments will be delivered on Monday unless SATURDAY Delivery is selected.  
☐ FedEx Express Saver Third business day\* Saturday Delivery NOT available.  
\* To most locations.

**4b Express Freight Service**

☐ FedEx 1Day Freight\* Next business day\*\* Friday shipments will be delivered on Monday unless SATURDAY Delivery is selected.  
☐ FedEx 2Day Freight Second business day\*\* Thursday shipments will be delivered on Monday unless SATURDAY Delivery is selected.  
☐ FedEx 3Day Freight Third business day\*\* Saturday Delivery NOT available.  
\* To most locations.

\* Call for Confirmation.

**5 Packaging**

☐ FedEx Envelope\* ☐ FedEx Pak\* Includes FedEx Small Pak, FedEx Large Pak, and FedEx Sturdy Pak. ☐ FedEx Box ☐ FedEx Tube ☒ Other  
\* Declared value limit \$500.

**6 Special Handling**

Include FedEx address in Section 3.  
☐ SATURDAY Delivery NOT Available for FedEx Standard Overnight, FedEx First Overnight, FedEx Express Saver, or FedEx 3Day Freight.  
☐ HOLD Weekday at FedEx Location NOT Available for FedEx First Overnight.  
☐ HOLD Saturday at FedEx Location Available ONLY for FedEx Priority Overnight and FedEx 2Day to select locations.  
Does this shipment contain dangerous goods? One box must be checked.  
☒ No ☐ Yes As per attached Shipper's Declaration. ☐ Yes Shipper's Declaration not required. ☐ Dry Ice Dry ice 9, UN 1845 \_\_\_\_\_ x \_\_\_\_\_ kg  
Dangerous goods (including dry ice) cannot be shipped in FedEx packaging. ☐ Cargo Aircraft Only

**7 Payment** Bill to:

Enter FedEx Acct. No. or Credit Card No. below.  
☐ Sender Acct. No. in Section 1 will be billed. ☐ Recipient ☐ Third Party ☐ Credit Card ☐ Cash/Check

FedEx Acct. No. \_\_\_\_\_ Exp. Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Credit Card No. \_\_\_\_\_  
Total Packages 1 Total Weight 9.15 Total Declared Value† \$ —.00 6851

†Our liability is limited to \$100 unless you declare a higher value. See back for details. By using this Airbill you agree to the service conditions on the back of this Airbill and in the current FedEx Service Guide, including terms that limit our liability. FedEx Use Only

**8 NEW Residential Delivery Signature Options** If you require a signature, check Direct or Indirect.

☐ No Signature Required Packages may be left without obtaining a signature for delivery. ☐ Direct Signature Anyone at recipient's address may sign for delivery. Fee applies. ☐ Indirect Signature If no one is available at recipient's address, anyone at a neighboring address may sign for delivery. Fee applies.

520



Attachment  
A-3

[US Home](#)[Information Center](#) | [Customer Support](#) | [Site Map](#)[Español](#)[Search](#)[Go!](#)[Package / Envelope Services](#)[Office/Print Services](#)[Freight Services](#)[Expedited Services](#)[Ship](#)[Track](#)[Manage My Account](#)[International Tools](#)[Track Shipments](#)

## Detailed Results

[Printable Version](#) [Quick Help](#)

**Tracking number** 860291126776  
**Signed for by** G.BLACKMON  
**Ship date** Aug 8, 2007  
**Delivery date** Aug 9, 2007 9:02 AM  
**Status** Delivered  
**Signature image available** No

**Delivered to**  
**Service type**  
**Weight**

**Shipping/Receiving**  
Priority Overnight  
10.0 lbs.

**Wrong Address?**  
Reduce future mistakes by using  
FedEx Address Checker.

**Tracking a FedEx SmartPost  
Shipment?**  
[Go to shipper login](#)

Date/Time	Activity	Location	Details
Aug 9, 2007	9:02 AM	<b>Delivered</b>	
	8:30 AM	On FedEx vehicle for delivery	WASHINGTON, DC
	7:51 AM	At local FedEx facility	WASHINGTON, DC
	7:33 AM	Delivery exception	WASHINGTON, DC
	7:24 AM	At local FedEx facility	WASHINGTON, DC
	5:46 AM	At dest sort facility	DULLES, VA
	5:17 AM	Departed FedEx location	INDIANAPOLIS, IN
Aug 8, 2007	12:46 AM	Arrived at FedEx location	INDIANAPOLIS, IN
	10:04 PM	Left origin	HEBRON, KY
	11:09 AM	Picked up	FLORENCE, KY

### Take 15% off

List rates on eligible  
FedEx Express<sup>®</sup>  
online shipments.

[Learn more >>](#)[Signature proof](#)[E-mail results](#)[Track more shipments](#)

[Subscribe to tracking updates \(optional\)](#)

Your Name:

Your E-mail Address:

E-mail address

Language

Exception  
updates

Delivery  
updates

English

☐

English

☐

English

☐

English

☐

Select format: ☐ HTML ☐ Text ☐ Wireless

Add personal message:

Not available for Wireless or



**1 From** Please print and press hard.  
 Date 8/8/07 Sender's FedEx Account Number  
 Sender's Name Ryan Freeman Phone 859 647-2729

Company  
 Address 2902 Houston Rd. Dept./Floor/Suite/Room  
 City Florence State KY ZIP 41042

**2 Your Internal Billing Reference**  
 First 24 characters will appear on invoice.

**3 To**  
 Recipient's Name Tavis Smiley Phone (323) 290-3940  
 Company The Smiley Group, Inc.  
 Recipient's Address 3870 Crenshaw Blvd. Ste 391 Dept./Floor/Suite/Room  
 We cannot deliver to P.O. boxes or P.O. ZIP codes

Address  
 To request a package be held at a specific FedEx location, print FedEx address here.  
 City Los Angeles State CA ZIP 90008



**Store your addresses at fedex.com**  
 Simplify your shipping. Manage your account. Access all the tools you need.

**4a Express Package Service**

☒ **FedEx Priority Overnight**  
 Next business morning.\* Friday  
 shipments will be delivered on Monday  
 unless SATURDAY Delivery is selected.  
 FedEx Envelope rate not available. Minimum charge: One-pound rate.  
☐ **FedEx Standard Overnight**  
 Next business afternoon.\*  
 Saturday Delivery NOT available.  
☐ **FedEx Express Saver**  
 Second business day.\* Thursday  
 shipments will be delivered on Monday  
 unless SATURDAY Delivery is selected.  
 Saturday Delivery NOT available.

**Packages up to 150 lbs.**

☐ **FedEx First Overnight**  
 Earliest next business morning  
 delivery to select locations.\*  
 Saturday Delivery NOT available.

**4b Express Freight Service**

☐ **FedEx 1Day Freight\***  
 Next business day.\*\* Friday  
 shipments will be delivered on Monday  
 unless SATURDAY Delivery is selected.  
☐ **FedEx 2Day Freight**  
 Second business day.\*\* Thursday  
 shipments will be delivered on Monday  
 unless SATURDAY Delivery is selected.

**Packages over 150 lbs.**

☐ **FedEx 3Day Freight**  
 Third business day.\*\*  
 Saturday Delivery NOT available.

\* Call for Confirmation

\*\* To meet locations.

\*\* To meet locations.

**5 Packaging**

☐ **FedEx Envelope\*** ☐ **FedEx Pak\***  
 Includes FedEx Small Pak,  
 FedEx Large Pak, and FedEx Sturdy Pak. ☐ **FedEx Box** ☐ **FedEx Tube** ☒ **Other**  
 \* Declared value limit \$500.

**6 Special Handling**

☐ **SATURDAY Delivery**  
 NOT Available for  
 FedEx Standard Overnight,  
 FedEx First Overnight, FedEx Express  
 Saver, or FedEx 3Day Freight.  
☐ **HOLD Weekday**  
 at FedEx Location  
 NOT Available for  
 FedEx First Overnight.  
☐ **HOLD Saturday**  
 at FedEx Location  
 Available ONLY for  
 FedEx Priority Overnight and  
 FedEx 2Day to select locations.  
 Does this shipment contain dangerous goods?  
 One box must be checked.  
☒ **No** ☐ **Yes**  
 As per attached  
 Shipper's Declaration. ☐ **Yes**  
 Shipper's Declaration  
 not required. ☐ **Dry Ice**  
 Dry ice, 3, UN 1845 x kg  
☐ **Cargo Aircraft Only**

**7 Payment Bill to:**

Enter FedEx Acct. No. or Credit Card No. below.  
☐ **Sender** ☐ **Recipient** ☐ **Third Party** ☐ **Credit Card** ☒ **Cash/Check**

FedEx Acct. No.  
 Credit Card No.

Exp.  
 Date

**Total Packages**

**Total Weight**

**Total Declared Value\***

1 9.10 \$ — 00 **8789**

\*Our liability is limited to \$100 unless you declare a higher value. See back for details. By using this Airbill you agree to the service conditions on the back of this Airbill and in the current FedEx Service Guide, including terms that limit our liability.

FedEx Use Only

**8 NEW Residential Delivery Signature Options**

If you require a signature, check Direct or Indirect.

☐ **No Signature Required**  
 Package may be left with-  
 out obtaining a signature  
 for delivery.  
☐ **Direct Signature**  
 Anyone at recipient's  
 address may sign for delivery.  
 Fee applies.  
☐ **Indirect Signature**  
 If no one is available at  
 recipient's address, anyone  
 at a neighboring address may  
 sign for delivery. Fee applies.

**520**

Rev. Date 8/05-Part #158280-©1994-2005 FedEx-PRINTED IN U.S.A. SRF

Attachment  
 A-4

[US Home](#)[Information Center](#) | [Customer Support](#) | [Site Map](#)[Español](#)

Search

[Go!](#)[Package / Envelope Services](#)[Office/Print Services](#)[Freight Services](#)[Expedited Services](#)[Ship](#)[Track](#)[Manage My Account](#)[International Tools](#)[Track Shipments](#)

## Detailed Results

[Printable Version](#) [Quick Help](#)

**Tracking number** 860291125596  
**Signed for by** A.GREEN  
**Ship date** Aug 8, 2007  
**Delivery date** Aug 9, 2007 9:45 AM  
**Status** Delivered  
**Signature image available** No

**Delivered to**  
**Service type**  
**Weight**

Residence  
Priority Overnight  
9.0 lbs.

### Wrong Address?

Reduce future mistakes by using  
FedEx Address Checker.

**Tracking a FedEx SmartPost  
Shipment?**  
Go to shipper login

Date/Time	Activity	Location	Details
<b>Aug 9, 2007</b>	9:45 AM <b>Delivered</b>		
	8:15 AM On FedEx vehicle for delivery	HAWTHORNE, CA	
	7:17 AM At local FedEx facility	HAWTHORNE, CA	
	5:07 AM At dest sort facility	LOS ANGELES, CA	
	4:31 AM Departed FedEx location	INDIANAPOLIS, IN	
	12:46 AM Arrived at FedEx location	INDIANAPOLIS, IN	
<b>Aug 8, 2007</b>	10:04 PM Left origin	HEBRON, KY	
	11:09 AM Picked up	FLORENCE, KY	

### Take 15% off

list rates on eligible  
FedEx Express<sup>®</sup>  
online shipments.

[Learn more >>](#)[Signature proof](#)[E-mail results](#)[Track more shipments](#)

Subscribe to tracking updates (optional)

Your Name:

Your E-mail Address:

E-mail address

Language

Exception  
updatesDelivery  
updates

English

English

English

English

Select format: ☐ HTML ☐ Text ☐ Wireless

Add personal message:

Not available for Wireless or  
non-English characters.

From Please print address and phone number  
 Date 5/19/07 Sender's FedEx Account Number  
 Sender's Name Paul Rogers Phone (763) 428-2937

Company \_\_\_\_\_

Address 2902 Weyerross

City Rogers State MD ZIP 55374

Your Internal Billing Reference  
 First 24 characters will appear on invoice.

To Recipient's Name Michael Isaacoff

Company Newsweek

Recipient's Address 251 W. 57th St.

We cannot deliver to P.O. boxes or P.O. ZIP codes.

Address \_\_\_\_\_

To request a package be held at a specific FedEx location, print FedEx address here.

City New York State NY ZIP 10019



**Ship and track packages at [fedex.com](http://fedex.com)**

Simplify your shipping. Manage your account. Access all the tools you need.

## 4a Express Package Service

- ☐ FedEx Priority Overnight  
 Next business morning.\* Friday shipments will be delivered on Monday unless SATURDAY Delivery is selected.
- ☐ FedEx Standard Overnight  
 Next business afternoon.\* Saturday Delivery NOT available.
- ☐ FedEx First Overnight  
 Earliest next business morning delivery to select locations.\* Saturday Delivery NOT available.
- ☒ FedEx 2Day  
 Second business day.\* Thursday shipments will be delivered on Monday unless SATURDAY Delivery is selected.
- ☐ FedEx Express Saver  
 Third business day.\* Saturday Delivery NOT available.
- \* To most locations.

## 4b Express Freight Service

- ☐ FedEx 1Day Freight\*  
 Next business day.\* Friday shipments will be delivered on Monday unless SATURDAY Delivery is selected.
- ☐ FedEx 2Day Freight  
 Second business day.\* Thursday shipments will be delivered on Monday unless SATURDAY Delivery is selected.
- ☐ FedEx 3Day Freight  
 Third business day.\* Saturday Delivery NOT available.
- \* To most locations.

\* Call for Confirmation:

## 5 Packaging

- ☐ FedEx Envelope\* ☐ FedEx Pak\* Includes FedEx Small Pak, FedEx Large Pak, and FedEx Sturdy Pak. ☒ FedEx Box ☐ FedEx Tube ☐ Other
- \* Declared value limit \$500.

## 6 Special Handling

- ☐ SATURDAY Delivery  
 NOT Available for FedEx Standard Overnight, FedEx First Overnight, FedEx Express Saver, or FedEx 3Day Freight.
- ☐ HOLD Weekday at FedEx Location  
 NOT Available for FedEx First Overnight.
- ☐ HOLD Saturday at FedEx Location  
 Available ONLY for FedEx Priority Overnight and FedEx 2Day to select locations.
- Does this shipment contain dangerous goods?  
☒ No ☐ Yes One box must be checked. ☐ Yes As per attached Shipper's Declaration. ☐ Yes Shipper's Declaration not required. ☐ Dry Ice Dry Ice, 6, UN 1845 x \_\_\_\_\_ kg. ☐ Cargo Aircraft Only
- Dangerous goods (including dry ice) cannot be shipped in FedEx packaging.

## 7 Payment Bill to:

- Enter FedEx Acct. No. or Credit Card No. below.  
☐ Sender Acct. No. in Section 1 will be billed. ☐ Recipient ☐ Third Party ☐ Credit Card ☐ Cash/Check

FedEx Acct. No.  
 Credit Card No.

Exp. Date

Total Packages	Total Weight	Total Declared Value*
1	1	\$ .00

\* Our liability is limited to \$100 unless you declare a higher value. See back for details. By using this Airbill you agree to the service conditions on the back of this Airbill and in the current FedEx Service Guide, including terms that limit our liability. FedEx Use Only

## 8 NEW Residential Delivery Signature Options

- ☐ No Signature Required  
 Package may be left without obtaining a signature for delivery.
- ☐ Direct Signature  
 Anyone at recipient's address may sign for delivery. Fee applies.
- ☐ Indirect Signature  
 If no one is available at recipient's address, anyone at a neighboring address may sign for delivery. Fee applies.

520

Rev. Date 3/05-Part #156281-01994-2005 FedEx-PRINTED IN U.S.A. RY

Attachment  
 A-5



From *Please print and press hard.*  
 Date 5/29/07 Sender's FedEx Account Number  
 Sender's Name Paul Rogers Phone (763) 428-2937

Company  
 Address 2902 Waycross  
 City Rogers State MN ZIP 55374

Dept./Floor/Suite/Room  
 City Rogers State MN ZIP 55374

Your Internal Billing Reference  
 First 24 characters will appear on invoice.

To Recipient's Name Ed Schultz Phone (701) 200-9134

Company The Ed Schultz Show

Recipient's Address 1020 25<sup>th</sup> St. South  
 We cannot deliver to P.O. boxes or P.O. ZIP codes.

Address  
 To request a package be held at a specific FedEx location, print FedEx address here.

City Fargo State ND ZIP 58103



**4a Express Package Service**  
☒ FedEx Priority Overnight  
 Next business morning.\* Friday shipments will be delivered on Monday unless SATURDAY Delivery is selected.  
☐ FedEx Standard Overnight  
 Next business afternoon.\* Saturday Delivery NOT available.  
☐ FedEx First Overnight  
 Earliest next business morning delivery to select locations.\* Saturday Delivery NOT available.  
☐ FedEx 2Day  
 Second business day.\* Thursday shipments will be delivered on Monday unless SATURDAY Delivery is selected. FedEx Envelope rate not available. Minimum charge: One-pound rate.  
☐ FedEx Express Saver  
 Third business day.\* Saturday Delivery NOT available.  
 \* To most locations.

**4b Express Freight Service**  
☐ FedEx 1Day Freight\*  
 Next business day.\*\* Friday shipments will be delivered on Monday unless SATURDAY Delivery is selected.  
☐ FedEx 2Day Freight  
 Second business day.\* Thursday shipments will be delivered on Monday unless SATURDAY Delivery is selected.  
☐ FedEx 3Day Freight  
 Third business day.\* Saturday Delivery NOT available.  
 \* Call for Confirmation. \*\* To most locations.

**5 Packaging**  
☐ FedEx Envelope\*  
☐ FedEx Pak\*  
 Includes FedEx Small Pak, FedEx Large Pak, and FedEx Sturdy Pak.  
☒ FedEx Box  
☐ FedEx Tube  
☐ Other  
 \* Declared value limit \$500.

**6 Special Handling**  
☐ SATURDAY Delivery  
 NOT Available for FedEx Standard Overnight, FedEx First Overnight, FedEx Express Saver, or FedEx 3Day Freight.  
☐ HOLD Weekday at FedEx Location  
 NOT Available for FedEx Priority Overnight and FedEx 2Day to select locations.  
☐ HOLD Saturday at FedEx Location  
 Available ONLY for FedEx Priority Overnight and FedEx 2Day to select locations.  
 Does this shipment contain dangerous goods?  
 One box must be checked.  
☒ No  
☐ Yes  
 As per attached Shipper's Declaration.  
☐ Yes  
 Shipper's Declaration not required.  
☐ Dry Ice  
 Dry Ice, 9, UN 1845 x \_\_\_\_\_ kg  
 Dangerous goods (including dry ice) cannot be shipped in FedEx packaging.  
☐ Cargo Aircraft Only

**7 Payment Bill to:** Enter FedEx Acct. No. or Credit Card No. below.  
☒ Sender  
 Acct. No. in Section 1 will be billed.  
☐ Recipient  
☐ Third Party  
☐ Credit Card  
☐ Cash/Check  
 FedEx Acct. No. \_\_\_\_\_ Exp. Date \_\_\_\_\_  
 Credit Card No. \_\_\_\_\_

Total Packages 1 Total Weight 7 Total Declared Value\* \$ 00

\*Our liability is limited to \$100 unless you declare a higher value. See back for details. By using this Airbill you agree to the service conditions on the back of this Airbill and in the current FedEx Service Guide, including terms that limit our liability. FedEx Use Only

**8 NEW Residential Delivery Signature Options** If you require a signature, check Direct or Indirect.  
☐ No Signature Required  
 Package may be left without obtaining a signature for delivery.  
☐ Direct Signature  
 Anyone at recipient's address may sign for delivery. Fee applies.  
☐ Indirect Signature  
 If no one is available at recipient's address, anyone at a neighboring address may sign for delivery. Fee applies.  
 520

Attachment  
 A-6